



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
548228	11/2/83	REDDY et al	

EXAMINER	
HULEATT	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
127	18

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PAUL CLARK (3) JAYME HULEATT  
(2) SCOTT CHAPEL (4)

Date of interview 7/14/87

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: generally all claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Fiddes et al (1980 & 1981), Pierce, Moriarty et al and Rice et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's attorney stated that he did not wish to limit the scope of the claims to only mammalian cells and would submit, if possible, information and/or data regarding the use of other cells capable of glycosylating. Arguments were presented regarding the unobviousness of the production of reassembled  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits over the prior art. No agreement as to patentability was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Jayme A. Huleatt  
Examiner's Signature